


MARDS Erasmus+ Vienna Consortium Meeting 10th – 13th December 2019 Questionnaire

16 responses

1 General data


Country of origin

16 responses



Institution


16 responses



2. Meeting contents


The overall meeting outcomes met my expectations

16 responses




I received relevant information about activities of the first year of the project by each partner

16 responses




Discussion on legal documents provided useful conclusions

16 responses




Discussion on the doctoral program accreditation provided useful conclusions

16 responses




Discussion on the current situation regarding Doctoral Studies in Albania provided useful insights

16 responses




Report on dissemination provided useful information about the activity

16 responses




Report and discussion on the equipment provided useful overview and information

16 responses




Report on training activities provided useful insights

15 responses




Discussion on overall implementation of the project and next issues was good

16 responses




Discussion on Tender for subcontracting external QC experts was successful

16 responses




I will inform my colleagues about the meeting and its content.

16 responses



The meeting format (duration, size, group of participants, etc.) met my needs.


16 responses



3. Meeting event organization and management

Information (about travel, accommodation etc.) received before the meeting from host partner, responding in time

16 responses




General organization during the meeting

16 responses

15


Domestic arrangements (accommodation, transport, meals etc.)

16 responses




The information (on tasks, materials for the training etc.) received before the meeting from the coordinator

16 responses




The coordinator facilitates communication and collaboration between partners. Everyone was encouraged to contribute to the discussion.

16 responses



The meeting has been prepared and managed in the most (resource) efficient way in order to make best use of the available time


16 responses



4. Quality of Project partnership


Communication amongst partners was effective

16 responses



Development of teamwork and positive attitudes was evident

16 responses



5. General impression

Please write at least two strengths (positive aspects) of conducted meeting

11 responses

interactivity of the opinions

All organizational aspects were very good.

Focus on result and further activities.

/

Management of meeting

Valuable contributions from Melita Kovacevic and Lucas Zinner to clarify the bottlenecks and goals

focus and relevant topics if meeting

Project partners are at least in positive motivation.

interactive discussions, good welcoming

Please write at least two weaknesses of this meeting

11 responses

lack of organization between Albanian partners

The coordinator must provide more academic support to the accreditation of PhD program to be opened in Albania.
The coordinator should explain in a more clear way the roles of each partner because some time they do not know their roles in this project after one year of its implementation.

Argued between Albanian partners.

Bad communication between Albanian partners is the only one.

Introduction of all project members
Asking opinions of all project members

Discussion and lack of cooperation between Albanian partners

What should be taken into account for the next meeting / suggestions?

10 responses

pushing the legal solution

To organize a meeting with all MARDS partners specifically dedicated to the development of the curricula of PhD program in Albania and to lobby at Albanian Education Ministry to support this project and to unblock the situation with PhD program me applications.

Concrete solving problems.

/

More concrete common activities and outputs.

Suggestion to the coordinator:

1. to prepare exact table of short-term tasks for every partner before the meeting and discuss about this before the meeting. The meeting should be used only for a confirmation and possible discussion and for small changes
2. Conclusion of the meeting together with relevant documents should be send

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. [Report Abuse](#) - [Terms of Service](#) - [Privacy Policy](#)

Google Forms